

OF THE MEETING



Date: 25/9/21 212

RESOLUTION

REMARKS

7

8

R. No. (1) The copies of the previous proceeding / minutes are supplied well in advance to the gram Sabha members. V.P. Secretary read out the proceeding of previous gram Sabha dt: 16/2/2021 & chose asked for comments if any correction / deletion / addition / modification if any.

Mr. J. Santan. met. R. No. 4(3) pg: 206. proposed following addition. MDR 29 road from Cuttaram Market to be proposed a village road as a new highway is road is constructed in Rato Cuttaram. and thus the MDR road which is going through Cuttaram market to be shifted at Rato Cuttaram. & the market road to be considered & as Internal road (village Road).

Mr. Chaitan Basu. Inquired about the position of MRF. The Chao stepped in last MDC meeting & decision is taken to appoint the surveyor to mark the site the Chaitan Basu said kindly get it done at the earliest.

To which Mr. J. Santan. added. that he was present for the VDC meeting. where in the plan which was shown highlight the 100,00 Sq m area which was marked for IRB.

The plan of MRF is already prepared & approved by gram Sabha. I have asked in VDC what is the total area of health. which is not known by Chao. I have shown the earlier previous rates

OF THE MEETING



Date: 25/5/25 213

Don

17/7/25
NLM

RESOLUTION

REMARKS

7

8

for the same. & till date no update on the same. The side shade of panchayat block is shown as MRA shade for the high-court. Compliance is shown. The plan prepared by me & been there our & till date why correct plan is not prepared of the village. VDC members are not coming for the meeting. The chair replaces the officials from health department has visited the site & the inspection of site is conducted by them & suggested for relocation of site for better access of the facility.

Mr. Rupesh Shankar explained in detail the status of MRA & the discussion of VDC meeting. Chair on the same replied that 20,000/- sqft of land is been proposed for MRA ground & cementary.

Mr. Charles Bauero replied. Don't sit for by portion of land stick to whatever is suggested for MRA. Mr. Rupesh Shankar also agreed to the same. Chair replied - Body decision cannot be overruled to which Mr. Rupesh Shankar added during VDC meeting one party was arguing with the Sarpanch due to overruling of body decision chair requested to stick to the topic. Mr. Rupesh Shankar added I'm trying to show the pattern of working of Sarpanch.

PROCEEDING

Charles Bassett
D. Santos Rodriguez

OF THE MEETING

of Voting
Against

6



Date: 25/5/25 214

RESOLUTION

REMARKS

7
Mr. Moreno Rebello added to the Panchayat is
misquoting & there like this there will be no
MRT in the village. Then why to take more
projects? Panchayat does not know anything about
Supreme. He does not know which ward falls
for which Panch. It is been clearly proven.
Chair replied to talk on MRT & not to
divert the topic more

8
Mr. Moreno Rebello. Said until the MRT facility
is not set up in village no projects to be
approved. The chair replied the proposal of
Sahar for collection of waste is been
rejected by you. And most of the garbage
which is dumped road side is been
generated by tenants.

Mr. Candado Cabral added the total no. of
tenants in the village. The chair replied the
said discussion will be done later.

Mr. J. Santos added if the plan prepared by
him are wrong then why not Panchayat
prepared a new plan till date. & also
requested that proposal for MRT of 3000 sqm
of land to be proceed as minimum land is
been requested.

As there are no more points to discuss the
minutes are confirmed / approved / passed.

OF THE MEETING



Date: 28/5/25 215

RESOLUTION

REMARKS

7
 R. W. D. V. P. Secretary read out the form 10 in detail.

8
 At. J. Santan. highlighted on difference in amount of amount of house tax.

At. Rupesh Shinde highlighted on profit for last year. Budget is 10 lakh.

At. J. Santan. highlighted on garbage grants from government is not received. Salary to staff is paid from panchayat fund from house tax: planning to be done on actual figure.

Chaitan Bureto highlighted in last audit report it was mentioned that salary of staff exceeds income of panchayat. The tax amount collection is covered up for payment of salary.

Moseno Rebello highlighted that matching grants are not been utilised & said may have to be returned if not utilised.

At. J. Santan replied the said amount is not refunded.

As there are no more points to discuss the administrative report & Annual for 10 of Income & Expenditure is unanimously approved & passed.

PROCEEDING

[illegible]

[illegible]

For	Against
1. ☐ The government should provide free healthcare for all citizens. 2. ☐ The government should increase funding for public education. 3. ☐ The government should implement stricter environmental regulations. 4. ☐ The government should provide financial support for small businesses. 5. ☐ The government should increase the minimum wage.	1. ☐ The government should reduce the size of the federal budget. 2. ☐ The government should promote free-market principles. 3. ☐ The government should encourage private industry to address environmental issues. 4. ☐ The government should reduce regulations on businesses. 5. ☐ The government should focus on reducing inflation.

5	6
---	---

RESOLUTION



Date: 25/5/25 216

REMARKS

By Dispatch read out the application in detail of Benny Pereira

①. Mr. Charles Bawers interrupted and asked for total no. number of applicants - and asked to read the applicant name & application date

Have read out the names of all applications with dates & if same are accepted or rejected

11. Charles Buxton asked Nelson for rejection
of the rule for rejection.

M. Moreno Rebello asked which all members were present for the meeting?

Chau solved the number of members present

Mr. Charles Barrett. replied major decision are done at last minute when only 5 members are present.

Mr. Oly. Darponch read out the app dt: 19/5/2025
of Mr. Charles Basso. reg: Construction Licence
issued to Mr. Antonio Blanco. De Costa Rica.
has been revoked.

has been evoked.
Mr. Charles Bassett added: when the licence was
given? members present for the meeting. Let
inspection depart & when it was confirmed &
said that revocation of construction licence is
as good as toilet paper which is invalid.

OF THE MEETING



Date: 25/5/25

217

RESOLUTION

REMARKS

7

8

Chase stepped. On 13/03/2025. The application was Inwarded. meeting the said application was placed is 15/03/2025. The total number of flats & shop in Bldg 1 is 7 shops & 8 flats & Building 2 4 shops & 8 flats. measurement / layout plan is been produced.

Mr. Charles Barche highlighted that during the confirmation of minutes the respective ward member is present. & that time the member does not talk about it & give statement in public that they are no aware. & also the conclusion in the construction licence need to be reviewed before issue the licence.

Chase handed over the copy of revocation notice of Mr. Godfree Vale.

Mr. Moreno Rebello. He said that. No licence is been revoked. there is no rule. but this gram sabha can do the history.

Due to heavy rains & no assembly the gram sabha is held at 12:15.

Gram sabha continued at 12:20.

Mr. Charles Barche highlighted that the panchayat member during confirmation would have objected no mention of trees in the inspection report. The panchayat meetings are been pushed. the 3 pro builder friendly panchayat where in on construction licence it is mention to install bins & panchayat will collect the garbage. How the panchayat will collect garbage when

OF THE MEETING



Date: 25/5/25 218

RESOLUTION	REMARKS
7	8
there is no Mf in the village.	
Mr. Godfree Vale added the road should be atleast 8.5 mts. the early road is 6 mts. how the permission can be issued.	
Mr. Rupesh Shukla. highlighted that the chair has not replied to the allegation made by on the chair. My agenda for this gram sabha was to put panchayat under administrative settle at the panchayat functioning & the allegation made on Sarpanch are not been clarified by the chair.	
Mr. Debe Ora said. on his app for gram sabha on 25/2/2024. It was decided that mya project to be put forward before the gram sabha. if my application on some subject was rejected. The good proposal are put by u. if it is rejected by body. st. Rita project is going on is there any proposal for the same?	
Mr. Oswald Penande said th. to the chair that In this gram sabha several allegation are made against you for corruption & you haven't replied to the same. but kept quiet. this means do you accept the allegations?	
The chair replied that the said statements are false.	
Mr. Moreno Rebello asked permission to chair to play a video which is viral. chair granted permission.	

OF THE MEETING



Date: 25/5/25 219

RESOLUTION

REMARKS

7

8

A Video Cannot be heard on ruke. the Mr. Moreno Rebello handed over the phone to Sarpanch. where in conversation between the panch members brother of builder was been played And In the same Sarpanch, Secretary & ward member Joaquim name was mention.

So which class ~~denied~~ denared the same & the video is been created by a third party which is not does not fit to the cu'put direct allegation on the panchayat.

Mr. Joaquim Estibero which the permission of the class. said that kindly Inquire & Verify the same as the same ward does not belong to me nor I'm involved in the same. kindly verify with valid proof & I can be disqualifed.

Am I am here infront of you for the gram Sabha where is your panch membe? Am I do attend all the mem meetings of the panchayat body. kindly verify how many meeting do you panch membe attend.

Chase replied. replied. we have not taken any bause it is been verified before the gram Sabha as we have revoked the permission.

Mr. David highlighted is any permission give for new plot in panchbhar area. chase.

Chase replied no permission is been given.

Mr. David said that machinery of panch membe is been used for leveling of land in panchbhar area. Panchbhar is become center of all issue request for no more development as only 2

OF THE MEETING



Date: 25/5/25 220

RESOLUTION	REMARKS
7	8
entrance road are there for that area of which are filled with garbage. for dirt roads put no garbage zone signboards in such places/spots. The chair replied the signboards are been taken & sent for approval. we have also proposed to put green nets.	
Mr. Moseno Rebelto added the residents of the area are facing issue due to garbage.	
Chair replied signboards will be installed.	
By Sarpanch read out the application of Benny. R. Pereira. rep. regular dumping of garbage on road side at Xobowaddo, Rallon Estate, Panchbhat & Vajulem in Curtorim.	
Chair replied signboards will be installed & cameras will also be put.	
Mr. J. Sanlan added there is waste management committee there is fund in panchayat for waste management open the same.	
Mr. Oswald Benande his application was rejected for per month. The clearing of drain should be done March it was not been done. 600 EHN numbers are been issued by the panchayat of approximate 100 of them are gone & 500 are migrants. garbage spots have increased in deparay, Estate, Vajulem take a resolution on the same.	



RESOLUTION

REMARKS

7

8


Mr. Benny Pereira added the drains are be cleaned but the mud removed from drains is kept on top on road side.

The chair replied the said mud will be cleared. The chair said that proposal of daily collection of waste is association with SAHAS where is 1000-2000 per month was proposed for daily collection of wet dry & sawdust waste. The same was rejected by the gram sabha.

Mr. Oswald Fernandes added what initiative have been taken to stop garbage. Kindly install cameras wherever necessary & and take strict action on the same.

As there are no more points to discuss the gram sabha concluded at 1133. by vote of thanks by Dy. Sarpanch

Recorded by V.P.S


SARPANCH
V.P. CURTORIM


V.P. SECRETARY
VILLAGE PANCHAYAT CURTORIM